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It’s always a pleasure when you can look back after achieving a part of a dream. Organizing a crash course introducing psychotherapy to trainees in psychiatry was a goal that many of the colleagues I talked about with considered hardly feasible.

Today, the steering committee can say that a first step has been made in that direction. A try-out has been successfully achieved as witnessed by the trainees who attended this event. Has it been perfect? Certainly not. Has it been good enough? Probably, possibly...

This report reflects what has been done to come where we are now. Shall we go on or leave it up to this single event? The future of this kind of project lays in the hands of decision makers in both the UEMS and the EPA.

At this moment it’s my pleasure and my duty to thank all colleagues who gave me advice and suggestions how to continue. Since a project such as this can only be achieved with the help of so many others who made up a team, I want to express my gratitude as project coordinator to the following persons in particular:

Members of the steering committee:
- Cécile Hanon EPA Committee on Education
- Fritz Hohagen EPA Section for Psychotherapy
- Slađana Iveziæ Croatian Association for Clinical Psychiatry
- Brian Jacobs UEMS CAP Section
- Nikolina Jovanoviæ EPA ECPC
- Nadja Mariæ Bojoviæ EPA Committee on Education
- Mariana Pinto da Costa EFPT
- Martina Rojniæ Kuzman EPA ECPC

Professor Vlado Jukiæ, director of the University Psychiatric Hospital Vrapæ

Members of the local organising committee:
- Martina Rojniæ Kuzman (chair),
- Nikolina Jovanoviæ, Ivana Kekin, Višnja Martiæ, Ivana Pedisæ, Irena Rojniæ Palavra,
- Mario Stipæviæ, Marko Tomiæeviæ

Trainers:
- Rudolf Gregurek, Slađana Iveziæ, Gilbert Lemmens, Vlasta Rudan, Christa Schaff,
- Ulrich Schweiger
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1. How did it start?

A few years ago, during the presidential diner at the EPA Congress in Munich, Marc Hermans had a chat with several psychiatry trainees and was once again confronted whit their need for psychotherapy training. After the diner he addressed Prof. Norman Sartorius with the question whether he thought an introductory course to psychotherapy would be interesting enough for psychiatry trainees in Central European countries. Prof. Sartorius supported the idea referring to the interest in the subject from one side, the high level of distrust of these populations towards psychopharmaca at the other.

The EPA Committee on Education (EPA CoE), chaired by Prof. Henning Sass, supported the idea and due to intense networking a group of enthusiastic colleagues started to work on the project. Consecutive EFPT presidents, Florian Riese, Marisa Casanova Dias and Mariana Pinto da Costa joined the team together with the trainee responsible for psychotherapy, Alina Petricean from Rumania. Prof. Fritz Hohagen, chair of the EPA Section on Psychotherapy and UEMS delegate for Germany, followed the evolutions from nearby as well as Prof. Nadja Marić-Bojovič who became the observer from the CoE. Later on, the interest of the EPA Early Career Psychiatrists Committee (EPA ECPC) in the project became evident and Nikolina Jovanović and Martina Rojnić-Kuzman joined the steering committee.

After becoming Chair of the EPA Co, Cécile Hanon became on her turn member of the steering committee. We were very lucky with the support we got from two Croatian delegates within UEMS, Prof. Slađana Ivezia and Nikolina Jovanović, what allowed for establishing a local organising committee, later enthusiastically chaired by Martina Rojnić-Kuzman. Thanks to their endeavours it was possible to engage further local trainers Prof. Rudolf Gregurek and Prof. Vlast Rudan.

In a later phase, it became evident that also trainees in child and adolescent psychiatry would attend the course what imposed us to have a part dedicated to psychotherapeutic approaches in youngsters. We got the support from the Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (CAP) Section of the UEMS where Brian Jacobs was so kind to offer his full support.

2. A growing concept

During our many contacts some basic principles emerged, important for this project to become a successful initiative:

   a. the course had to be free of any charge for the trainees

   b. it would take five complete days. At the beginning a whole week was considered to be the easiest way to organize it. According to the wishes of trainees, it was decided to organize it during two weekends what would enable trainees to free themselves more easily.

   c. trainers should be volunteering to give a one day introduction course in their particular field of interest

   d. trainers should do it for free, no fee was to be paid by organisers at all levels
e. the local organisers should provide with free board and lodging for the trainers and the delegates of the organizers
f. The EFPT, EPA and UEMS as organizers should cover the travel expenses for their delegates, the EPA would cover travel expenses for the trainers
g. local trainers should take part in the organization of the crash course as much as possible, out of respect for local existing initiatives and for reasons of continuity in developing further initiatives on psychotherapy training after the course as well
h. the fields of interest covered would comprise well established psychotherapy schools including an historical introduction. This generated the following program: (1) general aspects of psychotherapy, (2) psychodynamic psychotherapies, (3) client centred psychotherapies, (4) cognitive and behaviour psychotherapies, (5) family and system therapy.
For practical reasons however and after the UEMS Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Section engaged itself into this project, the program changed in the following way: (1) general aspects of psychotherapy, (2) psychodynamic psychotherapies, (3) cognitive and behaviour psychotherapies, (4) therapies in Child and Adolescent psychiatry, (5) family and system therapy
i. a first try-out had to be organized in order to allow trainees to give feedback on the content and the format of the course
j. EFPT would organise a survey, most probably web based, to evaluate the content and the format of the initiative
k. the steering committee would try to find appropriate literature for trainees

3. Motivation for some choices made

Trainees and trainers estimate psychotherapy training programs within psychiatry training as one of the most problematic parts in the official curricula in the majority of European countries. The evaluation of curricula and a resident’s unmet needs has been performed several times by the EPA ECPC and presented to the CoE on the annual meetings 2010-13. For the EFPT members it’s a long standing problem that has been addressed in meetings and scientific literature. This problem exists not only in Europe but is also experienced in the USA and Canada.

At the very beginning of the project, Serbia was considered to be a good candidate country to organize the try-out phase given the presence of Prof. Nadja Marič Bojovič within the EPA CoE. A difficulty might have been that Serbia was not an EU member. This collaborative project of the EFPT, the EPA Committee on Education, the EPA Section on Psychotherapy, the EPA Early Career Psychiatrists Committee and the UEMS Section for Psychiatry, was also immediately welcomed very enthusiastically by Romania and Croatia. Both countries have active delegates within the UEMS Section, are actively involved within the board of the EFPT and EPA ECPC. The latter had also well-established working relations with the Croatian Psychiatric Association. The involvement of a university very present in Croatia and, finally, the fact that Croatia as a new member of the EU did face a critical reform of psychiatry training, led to the choice for Zagreb as the first point of interest. An immediate approval for support from
the director of the University Psychiatric Hospital Vrapče confirmed the choice and marked the kick-off for the try-out course.

The first approved content was however to be reconsidered due to some unforeseen aspects: the participation of CAP trainees and the poor representation of client centred psychotherapy trainers. The first factor did ask for at least a minor offer on psychotherapeutic approaches in CAP. We decided to offer trainees an introduction to child psychotherapies and adolescent psychotherapies on the fourth day of the try-out course. The difficulty to find one or more trainers in client centred psychotherapy imposed another change in the program. Consultation between the partners made us decide to address the main elements in this approach – an empathic attitude of the therapist, unconditional acceptance of the client, transparency of the therapist – within the introductory course on the first day. Finally, some trainers who initially consented in presenting during one of the five days, had to withdraw for professional or health related reasons. They all helped us to seek replacement but it implied that the coordinator had to fill in for the general introduction and the adolescent psychotherapy part.

4. The active role of the local organising committee (LOC)

The active participation of the national psychiatric associations has proven to be of great interest. Their network and that of the Young Psychiatrists and Trainees Section (YPTS) made advertising the project and selection of the candidates possible. The steering committee didn’t want to play a particular role in this aspect of the project estimating that it belonged to the competence of the LOC. In agreement with the steering committee the number of applicants was limited to 25. They all knew the course was given in English except for the day presented by the Croatian trainers (cfr. below). All 25 initial applicants were accepted; of them 21 could attend the course. Unexpected last minute professional obligations and health problems (a flu epidemic) forced some applicants to stay absent.

During the preparation phase the LOC was a major go-between between trainers and participants. The LOC also provided presenters with necessary information about accommodation, airport transport, local networking activities, etc. Trainers and observers were picked up at the airport by volunteers of the YPTS and brought to the hospital. The Vrapče hospital provided trainers with board and lodging. This is of course not a four star hotel accommodation but trainers experienced it as sufficient within the context of the initiative. The hospital provided the participants with lunch and two coffee breaks per day.

The LOC did set up a one day course on psychodynamic psychotherapies as their own contribution to the try-out course. Members of the YPTS did chair sessions and some of them presented cases.
The LOC registered the try-out course at the Croatian Medical Chamber for CME credits. In this way it became a part of the theoretical part of the training in psychiatry.

5. The structure of the course

**Friday - 30.01.2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Chairs: Martina Rojnič Kuzman &amp; Mariana Pinto da Costa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00-9:20</td>
<td>Martina Rojnič Kuzman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:20-9:40</td>
<td>Marc H.M. Hermans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:40-10:00</td>
<td>Mariana Pinto da Costa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00-10:30</td>
<td>Participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-11:00</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Marc H.M. Hermans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-13:30</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30-14:30</td>
<td>Marc H.M. Hermans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-15:00</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00-16:00</td>
<td>Marc H.M. Hermans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Saturday - 31.01.2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Chairs: Višnja Martič &amp; Mario Stipčević</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00-9:15</td>
<td>Nadja Marić Bojović</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15-9:45</td>
<td>Slava Ivezia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45-10:30</td>
<td>Case report with discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-10:45</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45-11:30</td>
<td>Vlasta Rudan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30-12:15</td>
<td>Case report with discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15-13:00</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00-13:45</td>
<td>Rudolfg Gregurek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:45-14:30</td>
<td>Vedran Bilić</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-15:15</td>
<td>Stanislav Matačić</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:15-16:00</td>
<td>Case report with discussion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sunday - 01.02.2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Chairs: Ivana Kekin &amp; Marko Tomičević</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00-10:30</td>
<td>Ulrich Schweiger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-11:00</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Ulrich Schweiger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-13:30</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30-15:00</td>
<td>Ulrich Schweiger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00-16:30</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30-16:30</td>
<td>Discussion, Q&amp;A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Saturday - 14.02.2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Chairs: Ivana Kekin &amp; Mario Stipčević</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00-10:30</td>
<td>Christa Schaff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-10:45</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45-11:30</td>
<td>Christa Schaff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30-12:30</td>
<td>Marc H.M. Hermans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-13:30</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30-15:00</td>
<td>Marc H.M. Hermans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Sunday - 15.02.2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00-10:30</td>
<td>Gilbert Lemmens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-11:00</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>Gilbert Lemmens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-13:30</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30-15:00</td>
<td>Gilbert Lemmens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00-15:30</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30-16:30</td>
<td>Discussion, Q&amp;A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. The voice of the customer - the evaluation by participants

Mariana Pinto da Costa took the initiative to develop a web based survey. This made it possible for participants not to rely on their first impressions but to give feedback after some days for reflection.

We present the results on the basis of 19 questionnaires returned by the 21 participants.

Q1. Overall rating of the course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>very bad</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bad</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>good</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11,11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very good</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44,44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excellent</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>44,44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4,33/5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2. Individual feedback

- Presentation of EPA ECPC: 4,29
- Presentation of UEMS Section: 4,33
- Presentation of the EFPT: 4,29
- Presentation of the EPA: 4,11
- General aspects of Psychotherapy: 4,53
  Great, systematic, open minded lecture!!!
  The book list provided was extremely useful
- Training Curriculum in Croatia: 3,89
- Case report I: 4,17
  Please consider hiring case presenters with more training
- Psychodynamic Psychotherapies: 4,11
- Case report Bilič: 4,37
- Too long: 4,37
- Psychoanalysis - Scientific proof: 4,42
- Case report: 4,50
- Cognitive Behaviour Therapy: 4,28
  Too long, noticeable lack of examples
  Very useful, somewhat difficult to digest.
  Slides and handouts would be appreciated
- Psychotherapy with Children: 4,44
- Psychotherapy with Adolescents: 4,44
- Family and System Therapy: 4,65
- The best lecture: 4,65
- Role playing part F&S Therapy: 4,67
  It was great to participate in role plays as a way of learning
Q3. Particular course aspects
Venue/location 3,95
Catering 3,47
Staff 4,37
Networking opportunities 3,95
Scientific value 4,11
Working group productivity 4,11
Inspiration/motivation to improve training 4,26

Q4. Personal preference before course
Yes 52,63% 10
No 47,37% 9

Q5. If so, what was the preference? 8

Q6. In training at this moment
Yes 42,11% 8
No 57,89% 11

Q7. If yes, which one
psychoanalytic psychotherapy
integrative psychotherapy
family therapy
CBT
psychoanalytic psychotherapy
psychoanalytic psychotherapy
group analytically oriented
psychotherapy

Q8. Did this course change attitude towards specific modalities?
Yes 57,89% 11
No 42,11% 8
- seeing opportunities for each modality toward specific situations- patients
- Yes, toward Cognitive and Behavioral therapy, I am aware of effectiveness and practical value of it.
- I liked the part about System and Family Therapy, it was interesting and interactive.
- It was nicely put that for different psychopathology different psychotherapy modality is preferred. Usually, one hears vouching for teacher's preferred school.
- CBT--it is practical, with good outcome and short duration
- System and Family Therapy

Q9. Gender
F 73,68% 14
M 26,32% 5
Q10. Age
25-34  78,95%  15
35-44  21,05%  4

Q.11 Did you like content?
Strongly +  47,37%  9
Like        47,37%  9
N/Y         5,26%  1
Disliked    0,00%  0
Strongly -  0,00%  0

Q12. Did you like format?
Strongly +  26,32%  5
Like        52,63% 10
N/Y         10,53%  2
Disliked    10,53%  2
Strongly -  0,00%  0

Q13. Liked the most

Q14. What did not work well?
    7 answ
    12 skipped

Q15. Improvement
    10 answ
    9 skipped

Q16. Positive advise to others?
Yes  100,00%  18
No   0,00%  0
    1 skipped
7. What now further?

Immediately after the try-out course, the many enthusiastic reactions of the trainees were a positive stimulus to continue the work done. The attending trainees were sure that other countries would ask for similar courses. Bosnia-Herzegovina indeed already proposed to have a course in their country. Also Portugal has asked us to organise a similar course.

Some questions however emerge after this first try-out. Which countries should be given access to this kind of event? For many it’s obvious that the countries in Western Europe didn’t suffer from the old communistic regimes. They received an enormous amount of money and logistic support via the Marshall plan offered by the United States of America in the rebuilding of their homeland. Central European countries were less lucky. Many in the Western parts of Europe see it as moral duty to assist these central European countries on our term.

The circumstances that made this event possible might be all together more lucky then available elsewhere in the future. The firm network between EPA ECPC and the Croatian Psychiatric Association, volunteering trainees and trainers, associations prepared to pay for their delegates, might be particular circumstances difficult to repeat in the future?

If everything remains at the level of a crash course introducing some psychotherapeutic concepts, than the project will fail on the long term. It was the project’s intention to establish a basis for a further, more thoroughly considered choice towards a formal psychotherapeutic training as part of a training in psychiatry. Thinking along these lines, one may consider the EPA – by the Section on Psychotherapy - to organise during or outside the annual EPA congresses complimentary trainings in psychotherapy.

The steering committee members do hope that EPA and UEMS will continue to support this kind of initiatives, though this is somewhat different in format, but otherwise very complimentary in concept to the EPA Summer School. It focusses on upgrading basic knowledge in trainees and early career psychiatrists.

Therefor we dare to hope that it will get further (great) moral and (limited) financial support from the EPA CoE and the Board as well.

On behalf of the Steering Committee

Nadja Marič-Bojović Delegate of the EPA Committee on Education
Mariana Pinto da Costa President of the European Federation of Psychiatric Trainees
Martina Rojnic Chair of the local organizing committee
Marc H.M. Hermans Coordinator of the Psychotherapy to GO! project